## In this issue

Three composers feature prominently in three articles.
We commence with a short selection of compositions of Peter Gvozdják, our 2023 judge. While Peter is known for his Cyclone system and many excellent problems fitting into it, the set of 8 works shows mostly other themes.

Then, as a follow-up to one or two long lean problems with spiral knights, Torsten Linß has provided me a wider selection of selfmates utilizing this very specific fairy piece and its diagonal brother, including one original dedicated to Hans Gruber. All diagrams in the article contain 4 white and 2 black pieces.

Gerhard Maleika presents dozen twomovers with pure transference of mates utilizing similar mechanisms. Building on 4 problems by Knuppert and Hermanson he explores possibilities of the interference mechanisms.

A few originals follow in the originals column Fresh Clash.
Stay safe and enjoy Conflictio!
Juraj Lörinc

## A few compositions by our judge

It is not possible to list exhaustively all activities and achievements of Peter Gvozdják. His books Cyclone and Cyclone 2 have established the system into popular area of cyclic problems. Since untimely passing of Denis Blondel he also publishes FIDE Album and it is much more than just making some book from selections of judges, it is a management task of considerable size.

For 30 some years he edited twomovers in PAT A MAT. But he is mainly composer, very successful composer and his creations go far beyond Cyclone framework. It was a pleasure to select eight Peter's compositions, skewing the selection away from cyclones, even if not avoiding them completely.

1105 - Peter Gvozdják
1st Prize The Problemist 1987/II

1.Bf7? zz
1...Rb5 2.R×b5\#
1...B×f7 2.R×d6\#
1...c3 2.Sf6\#
1...f~ 2.Rh5\#
1...Rc6!
1.Rb5! zz
1...R×b5 2.Q×d6\#
1...Bf7 2.B×f7\#
1...c3 2.Q×b3\#
1...f~ 2.Be4\#
1...Bg8 2.h×g8=Q\#

Digging in the archive of The Problemist, I have found the following comment from the judge David Brown: "The intriguing pattern of W mates, partly transferred and partly changed, all uniquely forced, sets a new and refreshing standard. Everything is linked together beautifully! Although try/key are pins, Black wasn't going far anyway."

Noteworthy is also the structure of lines with strong relationship of orthogonal vs. diagonal between west and east side of the board.

1106 - Peter Gvozdják
1st Prize The Problemist 2015/I

1.Sf3? [2.Qc3\# A, Qg8\# B, S×b2\# C, Qd4\# D]
1...S×f3 a 2.Qc3\# A
1...Sb5 b 2.Qg8\# B
1...Q×f3 c 2.S×b2\# C
1...Rb5 d 2.Qd4\# D
1...R×d1!
1.Sb5! [2.Qc3\# A, Qg8\# B, S×b2\# C, Qd4\# D]
1...Sf3 a 2.Qg8\# B
1...S×b5 b 2.S×b2\# C
1...Qf3 c 2.Qd4\# D
1...R×b5 d 2.Qc3\# A

It is not really surprise that 1106 won the semi-annual tourney of The Problemist. The judge Barry Barnes wrote in the award: "The very first $A B C D / B C D A$ Lačný cyclic shift of threats, and a nearmiraculous achievement of ordered avoidance of quadruple mates throughout! This was far from an easy problem to solve and comprehend: the solution had to be uncovered with all the care of an archaeological dig. For one wild moment I thought that the composer was aiming for a hitherto unattained
combinative separation of the five threats created by 1.Sxc6? Then there were unavoidable and unwanted moves 1...Bxd1, Be4, Be5, Bd6 and Rxd1 which also give one or another of the thematic mates, and they served to confuse. l'm carping over small detail: this is a brilliant and well-deserved 1st Prize!"

Besides the Prize in the primary tourney, 1106 fared well also in the secondary competitions. It managed to win the prestigious Norman Macleod Prize that is intended for the most striking and original problem of any genre published in The Problemist over a two-year period. Peter Wong of the OzProblems has dedicated the column to it, reporting that $\mathbf{1 1 0 6}$ was the first orthodox \#2 receiving Norman Macleod Prize. I recommend reading more about mechanism there.

Of course, you can find $\mathbf{1 1 0 6}$ also as A187 in the FIDE Album 2013-2015.

1107 - Zoltán Labai \& Peter Gvozdják
1st Prize Práca 1991-92

$1 \ldots B \times d 42 . R \times b 4+a \times b 4 / K \times b 4$
3.Bb5\#/Qb5\#
1...S×d4 2.Rc3+ S×c3 3.d3\#
1.Qe4! [2.S×e6+ Bd4 3.Q×d4\#]
1...B×d4 2.Q×e6+K×c5 3.d7\#
1...S×d4 2.d3+Kc3/K×c5 3.Qe1\#/d7\#
$1 . . S \times c 52 . R \times b 4+a \times b 4 / K \times b 4$
3.Bb5\#/Sc6\#
1...Q×c5 2.Rc3+ S×c3 3.d3\#

The judge Karol Mlynka commented besides the black self-blocks especially on the present new-strategical theme, consisting of change and transference of set play continuations Z-(2,4)-44, also known as (complete) Ruchlis. The role of the key is crucial, moving the flight from d4 to c5.

1108 - Peter Gvozdják
1st Prize Z. Labai 70 JT C 1.10.2012

1.e6! [2.Q×f7\#]
1...Qb7 2.Rd×c3 A [3.Rg2\# B]
2...Kf5 a 3.Rb2\# C
2...Kg7 b 3.Rg3\# D
2...Q×b1 3.Q×f7\#
2...Sg5 3.Q×g5\#
1...Rc7 2.R×b2 C [3.Rg3\# D]
2...Kf5 a 3.Rc3\# A
2...Kg7 b 3.Rg2\# B
2...Rc2 3.Q×f7\#
2...Sg5 3.Q×g5\#
1...Kf5 2.Sg3+Kg6 3.Q×f7\#
1...Kg7 2.Q×f7+ Kh8 3.Qf8\#

By chance, even the award containing 1108 is available online. The alphabetic theme is interesting (Lender combination = key-mate reversal + le Grand, when comparing two main variations), but the strategical content resulting in the alphabetic theme is equally important and sometimes neglected by opponents of letters. Analogy between two variations is very strong and includes half-battery on the diagonal b1-g6 as well as virtual half-pin on the diagonal a1-g7. The short threat is perhaps the only blemish.

1109 - Peter Gvozdják
2nd Prize Probleemblad 1986

1.c4! [2.g7 [3.Se4+ B×e4\#]]
$1 . . . g \times f 1=$ B 2.Re5+ Kd6 3.Se4+ B×e4\#
$1 . . . g \times f 1=S 2 . d 4+$ Kd6 3.Se4+ B×e4\#
1...f×g6 2.Rg7 [3.Se4+ B×e4\#]
1...Kd6 2.Sd4 [3.Se4+ B×e4\#]

White would like to force mate by Se4+ B×e4\#. Thematical key guards d5 and almost allows this, but alas, it opens until then double masked line Bh8-a1. That is why the quiet threat 2.g7!.

As the threat is quiet, weak promotions on f1 allow counterplay 2.g7? B/Sf1~+!. But promotions guard e2 and d2, respectively, allowing White to close the long diagonal by checking moves. Also two other defences allow White interferences. Thus, counting the threat, we have here 5 variations with the same idea of White attack in the 2nd move.

By the way, 1109 shows the 11th WCCT s\# theme of black defending battery creation. Additionally, it is an example of s\# in which the created battery is not forced to fire by White (rather guarding by the front pieces is important).

1110 - Peter Gvozdják
The Problemist 1987

1.Be4? C zz, 1..fxe6!
1...g5 a 2.Sc3 A [3.Q×b6+ B×b6\#]
1...a×b3 b 2.g5 B [3.Q×b6+ B×b6\#]
1.Sc3? A zz, 1...a×b3!
1...fxe6 c 2.g5 B [3.Q×b6+ B×b6\#]
1...g5 a 2.Be4 C [3.Q×b6+ B×b6\#]
1.g5! B zz
1...a×b3 b 2.Be4 C [3.Q×b6+ B×b6\#]
1...fxe6 c 2.Sc3 A [3.Q×b6+ B×b6\#]

Again, White fights for single forced checkmate $\mathrm{Q} \times 66+\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{b} 6$ \#. The difference is that line b6-g1 is attacked by three white linemovers directed to e3 and the lines of attacks are neutralized by both sides: one in the White's first move, one in the Black's first move and the last one in the White's second move. Not really complicated, but as a result we get popular carousel change (not part of Cyclone system!) with missing white elements used as first moves.

|  |  | a | b | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | A | B |  |  |
| A |  | B |  | C |
| B |  |  | C | A |

1111 - Juraj Lörinc \& Peter Gvozdják Cyclone 2000

1.Rc5! [2.Sf6\# A]
1...0-0-0 a 2.Sd6\# B
1...0-0 b 2.B×e6\# C
1...pKd8 c 2.pPAb2\# D
1...pKf8 d 2.PAf1\# E
1...Rf8 2.h8=PA\#
1.pQc5! [2.Sd6\# B]
1...0-0-0 a $2 . \mathrm{B} \times 6 \mathrm{~F}$ \# C

1 ...0-0 b 2.Sf6\# A
1...pKd8 c 2.Rd5\# F
1...pKf8 d 2.Rf5\# G

While vao and pao are well known, paralysing units less so. Here is the definition from Cyclone 2:

Paralysing unit: a non-capturing unit which paralyses an enemy unit that it attacks. Paralysed paralysing units do not lose the power to paralyse. When all units of one side are paralysed, this side is stalemated even if its king is attacked.

The last sentence is not obvious, it even
results different implementation in Popeye and in WinChloe (it is however possible to align two implementations):

- Popeye follows the given definition, including the stalemate in case of paralysis of all black units. That is why 1.Rb5 0-0 2.B×e6\# is not checkmate, otherwise $1 . \mathrm{Rb} 5$ would be cook.
- WinChloe does not take into account the last sentence in the definition of paralysing units, but shifts this additional condition for checkmate into new type of aim "absolute \#".

It is also interesting (especially in relation to 1111) that Encyclopedia of chess problems includes in the definition of paralyzing piece (sic) additional condition that castling is not permitted if one of involved squares is observed by a paralyzing piece. Popeye doesn't care about anything except castling king, WinChloe needs additional condition that paralysed rook can castle.

Onto the content. The Shedey cycle with two castlings as defences was the initial intention, when we sat down in Peter's kitchen and composed together. The basic scheme requires squares d8 and f8 to be available to bK and this motivated us to try to change the mates also on these two flights. We succeeded and we managed to slightly suppress the possible symmetrical impression too.

The final problem of the selection 1112 utilizes combination of Anticirce type Cheylan and fairy pieces. It is worth noting that capturing promoting pawn is reborn as promoted piece, but fairy promotions are ruled out. Therefore Pe7 will be checking Kf8 when there is at least one of the squares a1, c1, d1, g1 empty, while Pe 2 checks $\mathrm{Kf1}$ when there is at least of the squares $\mathrm{a} 8, \mathrm{c} 8, \mathrm{~d} 8, \mathrm{~g} 8$ empty,

1112 - Peter Gvozdják
2nd Prize M. Caillaud 50 JT C 31.5.2008

1.e4! [2.BLe3+ RL×g6(RLg1)+ 3.BL×g1(BLg8)+ RL×g8(RLg1)\#]
1...RLge8+
2. $\mathrm{BL} \times \mathrm{g} 5$ (BLg8)+ A RL×c5(RLc1)+ 3.BL×c3(BLc8)+ B RL×a5(RLa1)+ 4.BL×a4(BLa8)+C RL×d5(RLd1)+ 5.BL×d4(BLd8)+ D RL×g8(RLg1)\#
1...RLce8+
2.BL×c3(BLc8)+ B RL×a5(RLa1)+
3.BL×a4(BLa8)+ C RL×d5(RLd1)+
4.BL×d4(BLd8)+ D RL×g6(RLg1)+ 5.BLc×g5(BLg8)+ A RL×c8(RLc1)\#
1...RLa6+
2.BL×a4(BLa8)+ C RL×d5(RLd1)+ 3.BL×d4(BLd8)+ D RL×g6(RLg1)+ 4.BLcxg5(BLg8)+ A RL×c5(RLc1)+ 5.BL×c3(BLc8)+ B RL×a8(RLa1)\#
1...RLb8+
2.BL×d4(BLd8)+ D RL×g6(RLg1)+ 3.BLc×g5(BLg8)+ A RL×c5(RLc1)+
4. $\mathrm{BL} \times \mathrm{C} 3(\mathrm{BLc} 8)+\mathrm{B}$ RL×a5(RLa1)+ 5.BL×a4(BLa8)+ C RL×d8(RLd1)\#

Complete rotation of four moves with a lot of rebirth clashes.

Juraj Lörinc

## Spiral knight and his diagonal brother

Two fairy pieces feature in this selection. Their definitions are as follows (adapted from Fairy Chess Classification Project), the diagrams show two possible paths for each.

Spiral Knight (SK): moves on zigzag paths in a series of $(1,2)$ knight steps angled at $53^{\circ}$ or $127^{\circ}$, e.g. b1-c3-d1-e3f1... or b1-c3-b5-c7...


Diagonal Spiral Knight (DSK): moves on zigzag paths in a series of $(1,2)$ knight steps angled at $37^{\circ}$ or $143^{\circ}$, e.g. b1-a3-c2-b4-d3... or b1-c3-e4-f6-h7...


It is important to realize that these pieces can control multiple squares for distant post with sufficiently empty board consider e.g. d6 for given SK and g7 for DSK.

Selfmates 1113-1115 show changed White promotions with 6 pieces on the board. They changes are in a twin form, in a) the play is spiral knights, in b) with diagonal spiral knights.

a) = spiral knight
b) = diagonal spiral knight
a) 1.f8=SK+! Kc7 2.SKe2+ Kd7 3.b8=S+ Kc8 4.Qa6+ SKb7 5.SKg7 Kd8 6.Qb6+ Kc8 7.SKe8+ SKd6 8.SKg8+ SK×g8 9.Qc7+K×c7\#
b) 1.b8=DSK+! Kc8 2.DSKa7+ Kc7 3.Qe7+ DSKd7 4.DSKd4 Kc8 5.f8=R+ Kc7 6.DSKe5+ Kb6 7.Qb4+ DSKb5 8.Rb8+ Ka6 9.DSKc7+ DSK×c7\#

SK+S promotions in a), $\quad \mathrm{DSK}+\mathrm{R}$ promotions in b).

Note that $w S$ is needed in a) only for forcing the mate, the royal battery mate would be mate even without him.

1114 - Torsten Linß
Phénix 2015

a) = spiral knight
b) = diagonal spiral knight
a) 1.f8=Q+! Kb7 2.a8=Q+ Kb6 3.Qfb8+ SKb7+ 4.Kd5 Kb5 5.c8=SK Kb4 6.Qa5+ Kb3 7.Ke4 SKb5 8.Kd3 SKb7 9.Kd2 SKb5 10.Kc1 SKb7 11.Kb1 SKb5+ 12.Ka1 SKb7 13.SKe7 SKb5 14.Qb7 SK×b7 15.Qa3+ K×a3\#
b) 1.a8=R+! Kb7 2.c8=Q+ Kb6 3.Qc7+ Kb5 4.Qc5+ Ka4 5.Qb6 Ka3 6.f8=DSK Ka2 7.DSKe6+ Ka3 8.Kd7 Ka4 9.DSKe3+ Ka3 10.Qf6 Kb4 11.Qb2+ DSKb3 12.Kc8 Kb5 13.Kb7 Kb4 14.Ka6 Ka4 15.DSKc5+ DSK×c5\#

Q+Q+SK promotions in a), R+Q+DSK promotions in b). Although there are 3 queen promotions, every pawn promotes to two different pieces, i.e. no repetitions in this sense.

1115 - Torsten Linß
6th Honourable Mention harmonie-aktiv 2015

a) $\rightarrow$ spiral knight
b) = diagonal spiral knight
a) 1.a8=R+! Kb6 2.b8=SK+ Kc7 3.SKd1+ Kc6 4.SKd8+ Kc5 5.SKd7+ Kc4 6.SKd6+ Kc3 7.SKd5+ Kc2 8.Ra2+ Kc1 9.SKb3+ SK×b3\#
b) $1 . \mathrm{a} 8=\mathrm{Q}+$ ! Kb5 $2 . \mathrm{b} 8=\mathrm{DSK}+\mathrm{Kb} 4$ 3.DSKd2+ Kc5 4.Qa5+ Kd4 5.DSKg4+ Kd3 6.DSKb1+ Kc4 7.Qa2+ Kb5 8.DSKd7+ DSKc6 9.DSKd4+ DSK×d4\#

R+SK promotions in a), Q+DSK promotions in b).

While in 1113 and 1114 the twinning involved changing nature of one fairy piece, 1115 changed one fairy piece for each side. 1116 goes much further as 4 spiral knights are turned to diagonal spiral knights.

1116 - Torsten Linß
StrateGems 2015

a) $\rightarrow$ spiral knight
b) $\sim$ diagonal spiral knight
a) 1.SKbe7+! Kd2 2.SKb1+ Kd3 3.SKb3+ Ke4 4.SKa4+ Kf4 5.SKg2+ Kf5 6.SKh6+ Ke6 7.SKf8+ Ke7 8.SKg6+ Kd7 9.SKd3+ Kc7 10.SKa7+ Kd8 11.SKb7+ Ke8 12.SKb6+ Kf8 13.SKb8+ Ke8 14.SKc7+ SK×c7\#
b) 1.DSK6f2+! Kd2 2.DSKfe3+ Ke1 3.DSKg2+ Kd1 4.DSKc5+ DSKd4 5.DSKe5 Kc1 6.DSKgf4+ Kd1 7.Kb7 Ke1 8.DSKg2+ Kd1 9.Ka6 Kc1 10.DSKcd3+ Kd1 11.DSKdb2+ Kc2 12.DSKed3+ Kb3 13.DSKbc4+ Ka4 14.DSKc5+ DSK×c5\#

Besides miracle of uniqueness of two related positions ${ }^{1}$, this is perhaps the best demonstration of ability of distant spiral knigts to control opposite king. The white DKs are all three far already in the diagram and the key 1.SKBe7+! bring one even further.

[^0]1117 and 1118 utilize other popular form for multiplication of the content - set play.

1117 - Torsten Linß
2nd Prize 121st TT SuperProblem 2014

1...Kd4 2.Qb4+ Kd3 3.SKf8+ SKd5 4.Rd2+ Ke3 5.SKg6+ Kf3 6.Qg4+ Ke3 7.SKe7+ SK×e7 8.Qf3+ K×f3\#
1.SKf6+! Kd4 2.Qb6+ SKc5 3.Kd1 Kc3 4.Rc2+ Kd4 5.Qd6+ Ke3 6.Qf4+ Kd3 7.SKd7+ SK×d7 8.Qe3+ K×e3\#

Chameleon echo royal battery model mates! It is the black spiral knight's turn to demonstrate own ability to strike strongly from afar: SKe7 in the set and SKd7 in the solution attack all white royal squares on the first rank.

1118 - Torsten Linß
Prize Pat a Mat 2014

1...SKc7 2.Qa6+ Kb8 3.g8=Q+ SKe8 4.Rb7+ Kc8 5.Qc6+ Kd8 6.Qb6+ Kc8 7.Qg4+ SKe6 8.Rb8+ Kd7 9.Qd8+ Kc6 10.Rb6+ Kc5 11.Qdg5+ SK×g5 12.Qc4+ K×c4\#
1.g8=SK! SKc7 2.SKf6 SKe7 3.Qa6+ Kb8 4.Qa8+ Kc7 5.Rh7 SKg7 6.Ka6 SKe7 7.Qe8 SKg7 8.Ka7 SKe7+ 9.Ka8 SKg7 10.SKg4 SKe7 11.Rg7 SK×g7 12.Qc8+ K×c8\#

1118 puts together two different finales. In the set play the royal battery mate known from 1117 appears, while in the solution a black royal battery mates wK in the corner as in a) of 1113. The mate is not the same, however, as besides absence of wS (that was superfluous for checkmate in 1113), also placement of the battery is different. Here bK from c7 captures wQ on c8, while in 1113 the mating move was played in the opposite direction. Miracle of SK geometry - bK opens SK attack on wKa8 in both cases.

1119 - Torsten Linß Julia's Fairies 2020

1.b8=Q+!
1...Ka6 2.Qba7+ Kb5 3.Qcb6+ Kc4 4.Qa2+ SKb3 5.Kf4 Kd5 6.Rg5+ Kc4 7.Qc5+ Kd3 8.Rg3+ SKf3 9.Kg4 Ke4 10.Qb1+ SKd3 11.Kh4 Kf4 12.Qbb4+ SK×b4 13.Qf5+ K×f5\#
1...Ka4 2.Qca7+ SKa5 3.Qe8+ Kb4 4.Qb6+ Kc4 5.Qg8+ SKf7+ 6.Kg6 Kd5 7.Rg4 Ke5 8.Qe8+ Kd5 9.Qec6+ Ke5 10.Qb2+ SKd4+ 11.Kh5 Kf5 12.Qbb5+ SK×b5 13.Qf6+ K×f6\#
1...SKb7 2.Rg4 Ka6 3.Qc6+ Ka5 4.Qa4+ Kb6 5.Rg5 Kc5 6.Kg4+ Kb6 7.Rg6+ Kc5 8.Qc8+ Kd5 9.Qac4+ Ke5 10.Qb8+ SKd6+ 11.Kh5 Kf5 12.Qbb5+ SK×b5 13.Qf4+ K×f4\#

In spite of checking promoting key, 1119 is a strong achievement. Three variations introduced by such strong means are of equal length and long as such. Moreover, they end three-fold chameleon echo mates. Yes, already known royal battery mate from far standing bSK is tripled. Great.

1120 - Torsten Linß
feenschach 2021

1.SKg3! Kc2 2.SKa3+ Kb2 3.SKe3 Ka2 4.SKg3+ Kb2 5.Ke6 Kc2 6.SKa3+ Kb2 7.SKe3 Ka2 8.SKg3+ Kb2 9.Kd6 Kc2 10.SKa3+ Kb2 11.SKe3 Ka2 12.SKg3+ Kb2 13.Kc7 Kc2 14.SKa3+ Kb2 15.SKe3 Ka2 16.SKg3+ Kb2 17.Kd8 Kc2 18.SKa3+ Kb2 19.SKe3 Ka2 20.SKg3+ Kb2 21.Ke8 Kc2 22.SKa3+ Kb2 23.SKe3 Ka2 24.SKg3+ Kb2 25.Kf8 Kc2 26.SKa3+ Kb2 27.SKe3 Ka2 28.SKc3+ Kb2 29.SKe8 Kc2,Kb1,Ka2,Ka3 30.SKf7 SKg2\#,SKg6\#

1120 is a single reflex mate in the selection and it goes for length. A pair of white SKs keeps bK oscillating between a2 and b2 (while bSK is pinned after nonchecking moves) with White gaining a tempo each 4 moves. This allows wK's walk to f8, after which wSKs block squares around wK and Black is forced to give reflex mate. Mating dual is not nice, but we are talking about position with 6 pieces only...

1121 - Torsten Linß
Prize Schachmatnaja Kompozicia 2014

1...DSK×f2\#

| 1.DSKhg4+! | Kc4 | 2.DSKc7+ | Kb4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 3.DSKfd3+ | Ka4 | 4.DSKdb5+ | Kb4 |
| 5.DSKgc3+ | Kc5 | 6.DSKa7+ | DSKb6 |
| 7.DSKg6+ | Kc4 | 8.DSKa2+ | DSKb3 |
| 9.DSKb8+ | Kd4 | 10.DSKf6+ | Ke3 |
| 11.DSKg2+ | Kd4 | 12.DSKf2+ | DSKe3 |
| 13.DSKh2 DSK×f2\# |  |  |  |

1121 on the other hand shows single tempo loss by White. The set mate cannot be kept easily. The diagram position with Black to play can only be reconstructed by rather long manoeuvre involving pushing bK to the other side of the board and triangle made by bDSK in form e3-b6-b3-e3.

1.c8=DSK+! Kd8 2.DSKe6+ Ke7 3.g8=DSK+ Kd6 4.DSKc8+ Ke5 5.h8=DSK+ Ke4 6.DSKh4+ Kd4 7.DSKb2+ Kc5 8.DSKc8+ DSKd7 9.Ka6+ DSK×c8 10.DSKb4+ K×b4\#

Welcome original 1122 shows something different again:

- 3 DSK promotions,
- in this article not yet shown royal battery model mate involving only single bDSK besides bK.

Once or twice long time I have toyed with SKs and DSKs, with result not so bad. Is it a time to revisit this interesting pair of pieces in some new Conflictio relevant compositions?

Diagrams selection by Torsten Lin $\beta$ Text by Juraj Lörinc

## Mate Transference <br> by Gerhard Maleika

A mate transference of mate 2.A\# occurs if:

- in phase 1
- defence 1 ...a is followed by 2.A\# and
- defence 1...b is not followed by 2.A\# or 1 ...b is not possible and
- in phase 2
- defence 1 ...b is followed by 2.A\# and
- defence 1...a is not followed by 2.A\# or 1 ...a is not possible.

I am glad that Gerhard opened his article with this definition. It puts rather strict demands on variations present in two phases in order to classify some change as mate transference. But on the other hand, it is important in order to avoid cases when there is no change and the same defence allows the mate in question in both phases, it is just not considered, "not written".

If there was a black pawn $f 2$ in 1123 , then there would be no mate transference of 2.Qa1\#. In the set phase 1...Qh2 is followed by 2.Qa1\# and 1...Qb7 is not possible. In the solution phase $1 \ldots \mathrm{Qb7}$ is followed by 2.Qa1\#, but 1...Qh2 would be followed by both 2.Qa1\# and 2.Sxb5\#. It is irrelevant that $1 \ldots$ Qh2 does not prevent the threat.

Without the black pawn f2, however, in the solution phase 1...Qh2 is not followed by 2.Qa1\#, since the line h2-b2 has been opened.

In 1123 to 1126 there is the set phase and the solution phase. In 1127 to 1134 there is the try phase and the solution phase.

Mate transferences are achieved in all phases by obstructing black lines. In 1123, 1124 and 1127-1129 there are 2 thematic black lines. In 1125, 1126 and 1130-1134 there are 4 thematic black lines.

In 1123, 1124 and 1126 there are 5 mate transferences. In 1125 there are 4 mate transferences and additionally 2 mate changes. In 1127-1129 and 1133 there are 3 mate transferences. In 1130 there are 2 mate transferences. In 1131 and 1132 there are 2 mate transferences plus 1 mate change. In 1134 there are 3 mate transferences plus 1 mate change.

Mate transference is one of cornerstones of change themes and as such is also a basic element in the MOV system of Juraj Brabec. "O" in the name MOV and stand for Slovak "obrana", defence, as well use to call mate transference "zámena obrany", i.e. change of defence. More about that in Conflictio 13 (and following issues), I would like to draw your attention also to diagram 166 therein (János Kiss, 1st Prize Probleemblad 1955) showing transference of 3 mates with very different mechanism.

1123 - Halvar Hermanson
2nd Honourable Mention Arbejder-Skak 1952-I

1...Bc6 2.S×c6\#
1...Bd5 2.R×d5\#
1...Be4 2.R×e4\#
1...Bf3+2.S×f3\#
1...Q×h2 2.Qa1\#
1.Bb7! [2.S×b5\#]
1...Qc6 2.S×c6\#
1...Qd5 2.R×d5\#
1...Qe4 2.R×e4\#
1...Qf3+2.S×f3\#
1...Q×b7 2.Qa1\#
1...R×b7 2.h8=Q\#

5 mate transferences.

1...R×b4 2.c×b4\#
1...Rc4 2.S×c4\#
1...Rd4 2.c×d4\#
1...Re4 2.Q×e4\#
1...Rf4+ 2.g×f4\#
1.Rg4! [2.Re8\#
2.c4\#]
1...Qb4 2.c×b4\#
1...Qc4 2.S×c4\#
1...Qd4+ 2.c×d4\#
1...Qe4 2.Q×e4\#
1...Qf4+ 2.g×f4\#
$1 . . . Q \times c 2, Q \times g 42 . R \times a 5 \#$
1...Q×a3,Qb3 2.Qe4\#

5 mate transferences.

1125 - Halvar Hermanson
1st Prize Norsk Sjakkblad 1952

1...Rb2 2.Bc3\#
1...Bb2 2.Rd2\#
1...R×c2+2.S×c2\#
1...d5 2.Qe3\#
1...Rc5 2.Qd2\#
1...Se7 2.Qe5\#
1...Se5 2.Q×e5\#
1.Sb2! [2.Qd5\#]
1...Bc4 2.Bc3\#
1...Rc4 2.Rd2\#
1...R×c2+2.S×c2\#
1...Se5 2.Qe3\#
1...Rc5 2.Q×c5\#
1...Se7 2.Q×g7\#
1...Sf4 2.Q×g7\#
1...Bb7 2.Rd2\#

4 mate transferences and additionally 2 mate changes.

1...Rd3 2.e×d3\#
1...Re3 2.Q×e3\#
1...Rf3 2.e×f3\#
1...B×e5+2.R×e5\#
1...Bg1 2.S×f7\#
1.Rg3! [2.Sc3\#]
1...Rd3 2.e×d3\#
1...Re3 2.Q×e3\#
1...Rf3 2.e×f3\#
1...Q×e5+2.R×e5\#
1...Qd1 2.S×f7\#
1...B×d5 2.B×d5\#
1...R×a8 2.Qe3\#
1...Qd4 2.Qf5\#

5 mate transferences.

1.Rg2? [2.Rd1\#]
1...Qf3 2.S×f3\#
1...Qe4 2.R×e4\#
1...Qd5 2.Q×d5\#
1...Rb3!
1.Rb7! [2.Rd1\#]
1...Bf3 2.S×f3\#
1...Be4 2.R×e4\#
1...Bd5 2.Q×d5\#
1...Bb4 2.B×e5\#

3 mate transferences.

1.Bc3? [2.Rb4\#]
1...Rc4 2.d×c4\#
1...Rc5 2.Sd4\#
1...Rc6 2.Q×c6\#
1...a5!
1.Bc7! [2.Rb4\#]
1...Rc4 2.d×c4\#
1...Rc5 2.Sd4\#
1...Rc6 2.Q×c6\#
1...a5 2.Qb6\#

3 mate transferences.

1.Be2? [2.Rc3\#]
1...Re3 2.Q×e3\#
1...Re4 2.S×e4\#
1...Re5 2.Q×e5\#
1...Bf7!
1.Be6! [2.Rc3\#]
1...Re3 2.Q×e3\#
1...Re4 2.S×e4\#
1...Re5 2.Q×e5\#
1...Sb6 2.Bb4\#
1...S×d6 2.Q×d6\#
1...b4 2.Rc4\#

3 mate transferences.

1.Rc7? [2.e×f8=Q\#]
1...R×e7 2.Q×e7\#
1...Qd6 2.S×d6\#
1...Qf4!
1.Re5! [2.e×f8=Q\#]
1...Bd6 2.S×d6\#
1...R×e7 2.Q×e7\#
1...S~ 2.Qg6\#

2 mate transferences.

1.Bf3? [2.Qe5\#]
1...Re3 2.Q×e3\#
1...Be2 2.S×e2\#
1...Sec6,Sg6 2.Q×d5\#
1...Sac6!
1.Bd3! [2.Qe5\#]
1...Re3 2.Q×e3\#
1...Qe2 2.S×e2\#
1...Sec6,Sg6 2.S×f5\#
1...Sac6 2.S×b5\#
1...c4 2.Bb6\#
1...g×f6 2.Q×f6\#

2 mate transferences plus 1 mate change.

1.Be6? [2.Qb4\#]
1...Bc4 2.Q×c4\#
1...Re4 2.S×e4\#
1...Sc6 2.Rd×c6\#
1...Bb5!
1.Be2! [2.Qb4\#]
1...Re4 2.S×e4\#
1...Qc4 2.Q×c4\#
1...Sc6 2.Rb×c6\#

2 mate transferences plus 1 mate change.

1.Sd4? [2.Qb3\#]
1...Qb4 2.Q×b4\#
1...R×b6 2.S×b6\#
1...Qc5 2.S×c5\#
1...Qa3!
1.Sd6! [2.Qb3\#]
1...Rb4 2.Q×b4\#
1...B×b6 2.S×b6\#
1...Bc5 2.S×c5\#
1...S×d5,Sb5 2.Qb5\#

3 mate transferences.

1.Bb6? [2.f3\#]
1...R×f2 2.S×f2\#
1...Q×f6 2.S×f6\#
1...Qd4 2.R×d4\#
1...Sd2,Se5 2.Qe3\#
1...Qc3!
1.Bb2! [2.f3\#]
1...B×f2 2.S×f2\#
1...R×f6 2.S×f6\#
1...Bd4 2.R×d4\#
1...Sd2,Se5 2.Re5\#

3 mate transferences plus 1 mate change.

Gerhard Maleika Additional remarks by Juraj Lörinc

## Fresh clash 22

There are 2 originals in this issue. Eagle (moving like a grasshopper, just turning 90 degrees over the hurdle) stars in the

Fivemover N048 is mostly about Madrasi Rex Inclusiv, with leapers (alfil (2,2), camel $(1,3)$ and zebra $(2,3)$ ) supporting the geometry.

1.EAg7? [2.EAf4\# A]
1...BHe5~,BHh2 a 2.EAe3\# B
1...BHf6 d 2.EAg5\# C
1...BHc3! c
1.EAb2? [2.EAe3\# B]

1 ...BHd4~,BHg1 b 2.EAf4\# A
1...BHc3 c 2.EAd2\# D
1...BHf6! d

## 1.EAd5! zz

1...BHh2 a 2.EAf4\# A
$1 . . . \mathrm{BHg} 1$ b 2.EAe3\# B
1...BHc3 c 2.EAf4\# A
1...BHf6 d 2.EAe3\# B
1...RHc4,RHe6 2.EAe2\#

|  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |  | $!$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |
|  | B |  | A | $\mathbf{D}$ | $!$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |

The author writes:
Complete new-strategical content can be described using PAD system ${ }^{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D H x+D D x+D x_{s} H_{s}-D H x+D D x+D x_{s} H_{s}- \\
/ H H /+(v o)
\end{gathered}
$$

This can be read as follows:
One single Dombrovskis theme (after defenses a, b) - DHx-DHx-, another one combined with Hannelius theme (after defenses c, d)- DDx-DDx-, together with a secondary-threat Hannelius theme (after defenses against secondary threats $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ - $\mathrm{Dx}_{s} \mathrm{H}_{s}-\mathrm{Dx}_{s} \mathrm{H}_{s}$, a cross change of two threat non-paradoxes (in the jargon "pseudo-le Grand"- /HH/ and a reciprocal functions change of the refutation and defence - (vo).


Madrasi Rex Inclusiv
= camel, $\mathbb{N}^{*}=$ zebra, 10 alfil
1.CAd4+? A R×d4 2.Se4+ B B×e4 3.Zd5+C R×d5 4.ALe5\# D
$1 . . . B \times d 4$ !
1.Se4+? B Bxe4 2.Zd5+ C R×d5 3.ALe5+ D B×e5 4.CAd4\# A 1...R×e4!
1.Zd5+? C R×d5 2.ALe5+ D B×e5 3.CAd4+ A R×d4 4.Se4\# B
$1 \ldots B \times d 5$ !
1.ALe5+? D Bxe5 2.CAd4+ A R×d4 3.Se4+ B B×e4 4.Zd5\# C
1...R×e5!
1.f8=~?
1...AL×f8!
1.f8=AL! zz
1...b2 2.CAd4+ A R×d4 3.Se4+ B B×e4
4.Zd5+ C R×d5 5.ALe5\# D
1...ALf4 2.Se4+ B B×e4 3.Zd5+C R×d5
4.ALe5+ D B×e5 5.CAd4\# A
1...c6 2.Zd5+ C R×d5 3.ALe5+ D B×e5
4.CAd4+ A R×d4 5.Se4\# B
1...g5 2.ALe5+ D B×e5 3.CAd4+ A R×d4 4.Se4+ B B×e4 5.Zd5\# C

The author remarks:
$4 \times 4$ cycle of W2/W3/W4/W5 moves with thematic tries.
Extension of the theme of the 1st place winner in the 4th WCCT.

It is indeed worth to recall the WCCTwinning problem 1135.

[^1]
1.Re1!
[2.R×a1 [3.Qd4\#] b2 3.Rb1 [4.Qd4\#]] 1...b2 2.Re5+B×e5 3.Sf4+R×f4 4.Sf6\# 1...g3 2.Sf4+ R×f4 3.Sf6+ Q×f6 4.Re5\# 1...Bf7 2.Sf6+ Q×f6 3.Re5+ B×e5 4.Sf4\#

Three paralysis lines spread across the whole board play prominent role in the content. As soon as Black moves some unit from outside to the line, White exploits Black weakening as one of his linemovers no longer guards two of squares e5, f4, f6. This leads to complete rotation of three checking White moves to these squares.

It is also important how the threat is included in the content (while N048 utilizes zugzwang). 1...b2 defends by allowing Black to quickly replace Qa1, while $1 \ldots . . \mathrm{g} 3$ and $1 \ldots$... Bf 7 prepare checks to wK , disturbing quiet threat.

Juraj Lörinc

## Annual tourney Conflictio 2023

All kinds of antagonistic problems will be accepted for originals column (Fresh clash - orthodox and fairy direct, self-, reflex mates and other aims of any length, any fairy elements), the main criteria for publication being antagonistic stipulation and sufficient quality. Possible originals from other articles will be included in the competition as well. The tourney will be judged by Peter Gvozdják, multiple sections might be created based on the quality and quantity of entries. Please, send the originals to Juraj Lörinc (address below).

Conflictio is an e-zine dedicated to chess problems with antagonistic stipulations Editor: Juraj Lörinc, juraj.lorinc+Conflictio@gmail.com


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Composing such twin is obviously helped by computer. No criticism, I like Torsten's method and also his strong filtering approach, when he

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The PAD system was explained in series of eight articles in Conflictio issues 13-21.

